

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,544	09/909,544 07/19/2001		Tom F. Lue	220022001600	1956
25225	7590	06/03/2003			
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE				EXAMINER	
SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2332				QIAN, CELINE X	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					1 AF EK NUMBER
				1636	17
				DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/909,544	LUE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Celine X Qian	1636
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howen, a reply within the statutory mineriod will apply and will expire statute, cause the application to mailing date of this communication.	ever, may a reply be timely filed  simum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
	-	n a l
· <u> </u>	This action is non-fi	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims		ormal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 23-27,31 and 32 is/are pending	in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witl	hdrawn from consider	ation.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-27,31 and 32</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	ind/or election require	ment.
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 2003 is/a		objected to by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection		·
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _		• •
If approved, corrected drawings are required		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	• •	·-··
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35	SUSC 8 119(a)_(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority under oc	7 0.0.0. § 113(a)-(d) 01 (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been rece	ived
Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority docume		
_ ' '		ve been received in this National Stage
application from the Internationa  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor		
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.</li> </ol>	′ =	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 17

Application/Control Number: 09/909,544

Art Unit: 1636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 23-27, 31 and 32 are pending in the application.

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on 3/19/03.

#### Response to Amendment

Acknowledgement is made of Applicants' submission of corrected drawing.

Acknowledgement is made of Applicants' submission of a Declaration under 37 CFR §1.132. It is noted, however, the declaration is not properly executed because it is not signed.

The rejection of claims 23-32 under 35 U.S.C.112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph (written description) has been withdrawn in light of Applicants' amendment of the claims.

The rejection of claims 23-32 under 35 U.S.C.112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph has been withdrawn in light of Applicants' remarks and amendment of the claims.

The rejection of claims 23-27, 31 and 32 under 35 U.S.C.112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph (scope of enablement) is maintained for reason set forth of the record mailed on 12/18/02 and further discussed below.

The rejection of claims 23, 25, 27 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) is maintained for reason set forth of the record mailed on 12/18/02 and further discussed below.

# Response to Arguments

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 23-27, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of treating male erectile dysfunction induced by cavernous nerve damage by administering BDNF to the patient, does not reasonably provide

Application/Control Number: 09/909,544

Art Unit: 1636

enablement for a method of preventing or treating male erectile dysfunction because of other factors by administering BDNF. Further, the specification does not provide support for a method of preventing male erectile dysfunction induced by cavernous nerve damage by administering BDNF to the patient. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make/use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In response to the rejection, Applicants allege that the Examiner based the enablement rejection on personal knowledge or opinion and request support of the opinion by an affidavit. Applicants further argue that the claimed invention is enabled based on the experimental data submitted with the Lue 132 declaration.

The above argument has been fully considered but deemed unpersuasive. The reasons for the enablement rejection were discussed in detail in the Office Action mailed on 12/18/02.

Applicants' allegation that the rejection is based on examiner's personal knowledge and opinion is not accurate. The quoted sentence "Given the complexity of the cause and molecular mechanism of male erectile dysfunction..." is based on the teaching of the prior art rather than personal experience. The prior art, for example, Maytom (6,436,944), teaches "impotence can result from psychological disturbance, physiologic abnormalities or a combination from both.

Thus, in some males... erectile dysfunction is associated with atherosclerosis of the arteries supplying blood to penis... venous leakage from veins in penis... typically, multiple factors are responsible for impotence (see col.1, lines 26-42)." Based on the teaching of the prior art, it is logical to conclude that targeting one factor of the ED is not going to be effective against ED

Art Unit: 1636

caused by other factors. Such statements are not based on the Examiner's personal knowledge, therefore, an affidavit is not required.

The Declaration under 37 CFR 1 132 filed on 3/19/03 is not properly executed because the inventor fails to sign the Declaration. The experimental data provided by the Declaration is not considered because the Declaration is defective.

Based on the teaching of the specification, the claimed invention is only enabled for the scope of a method of treating male erectile dysfunction induced by cavernous nerve damage by administering BDNF to the patient.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 23, 25, 27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Bakircioglu et al.

Applicants submitted the Lue 132 Declaration to overcome this rejection. However, since the Declaration is defective (unsigned), this rejection is maintained for same reason set forth of record in the office action mailed on 12/18/02. This rejection will be removed once Applicants provide a properly executed Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,544

Art Unit: 1636

#### Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celine X Qian whose telephone number is 703-306-0283. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel Ph.D. can be reached on 703-305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Celine Qian, Ph.D. June 2, 2003

JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER